UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,121	07/03/2003	Robert F. Wilson	21913-301	7183
37374 7590 08/16/2007 INSKEEP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP, INC 2281 W. 190TH STREET			EXAMINER	
			YABUT, DIANE D	
SUITE 200 TORRANCE, (	CA 90504		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/613,121	WILSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Diane Yabut	3734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI  ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	May 2007.					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application	าท					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
alika <u></u> , a salak salah sala						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	the state of the s	by the Everniner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
		3 3 Hoo 7 Kilon of 10 Hill 170 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				
S. Patant and Tradamark Office	-,					

# **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 25 May 2007.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Species 1 (Figures 4 and 8) in the reply filed on 25 May 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the species as grouped are not patentably distinct from one another. This is not found persuasive because the applicant has disclosed an apparatus having more than one patentably distinct species which do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants of each other.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Laufer** (U.S. Patent No. **6,083,219**).

Claims 1-6: Laufer discloses a method for treatment of damaged heart valve leaflets and for reinforcing a native valve 18 comprising attaching a reinforcing support 26, 28 to at least one valve leaflet, attaching a reinforcing support to a downstream surface of the at least one valve leaflet, as well as attaching a reinforcing support to an upstream surface of the at least one valve leaflet, or in other words, attaching a reinforcing support to both an upstream surface and a downstream surface of the at least one valve leaflet, wherein attaching a reinforcing support to both an upstream surface and a downstream surface of the at least one valve leaflet comprises sandwiching the at least one valve leaflet between adjacent support members on opposite sides of the at least one valve leaflet, or between adjacent, interlocking support members on opposite sides of the at least one valve leaflet to form a seal with free edges of adjacent leaflets during diastole (Figures 3-5, col. 2, lines 35-62 and col. 5, lines 8-27).

Art Unit: 3734

### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 25 May 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. The applicant argues that the plates are never attached to the valve leaflets, but rather reshape the leaflets using plates which impart heat or pressure to the surface of the leaflet. The examiner disagrees. Regarding the Laufer device, the reinforcing support 26, 28 are attached or pressed or adhered, albeit temporarily, to at least one valve leaflet and the reinforcing support 26, 28 support or hold the valve leaflet, as maintained above. Therefore, Laufer reads on the claim limitiations.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,121

Art Unit: 3734

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane Yabut whose telephone number is (571) 272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DY

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

M/ Hayer